

Some Applications On Inclusion Relations for Uniformly Starlike and Convex Functions

Fatma A. Alusta and Milad E. Drbuk

Department- Mathematics Faculty of Arts and Science - Meslata, Elmergib University

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we have established the inclusion relations for k -uniformly starlike functions under the multiplier transformation I_n^λ operator. These results are also extended to k - uniformly convex functions,

Keywords:

Holomorphic Functions, g - uniformly, g - uniformly convex, close-to-convex functions, g - uniformly quasi-convex functions.

1. Introduction.

Put ∇ in the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1)$$

And $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}$.

If f and g are holomorphic in D , we called ${}^{\circ}F$ is subordinate to ∂ , written ${}^{\circ}F \prec \partial$ or ${}^{\circ}F(z) \prec \partial(z)$,

if there a Schwartz function α in D such that ${}^{\circ}F(z) = \partial(\alpha(z))$.

For any $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $k \geq 0$, we define

$UST(k, \gamma)$, $UCV(k, \gamma)$, $UCC(k, \gamma, \beta)$ and $UQC(k, \gamma, \beta)$ the g -uniformly subclasses as follows:

$$UST(k, \gamma) = \left\{ f \in \nabla: \Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \gamma \right) > k \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \right\} \quad (2)$$

$$UCV(k, \gamma) = \left\{ f \in \nabla: \Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \gamma \right) > k \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \right\} \quad (3)$$

$$UCC(k, \gamma, \beta) = \left\{ f \in \nabla: \exists g \in UST(k, \gamma), \Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - \gamma \right) \geq k \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| \right\} \quad (4)$$

$$UQC(k, \gamma, \beta) = \left\{ f \in \nabla: \exists g \in UCV(k, \gamma), \Re \left(\frac{(zf'(z))'}{g'(z)} - \gamma \right) \geq k \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{g'(z)} - 1 \right| \right\} \quad (5).$$

For more details see [1].

Setting

$$\Omega_{k,\gamma} = \left\{ u + iv, u > k\sqrt{(u-1)^2 + v^2} + \gamma \right\} \quad (6)$$

where $\vartheta(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$ or $\vartheta(z) = 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}$ and considering the functions which maps D on to the conic domain $\Omega_{k,\gamma}$, such that $1 \in \Omega_{k,\gamma}$, we may rewrite the conditions (2) or (3) in the form $p(z) \prec q_{k,\gamma}$. We introduce the function $q_{k,\gamma}$ as the following:

We note that $I_n^\lambda (I_m^\lambda f(z)) = I_{n+m}^\lambda f(z)$ for all integers m and n . The operators I_n^λ are closely related to the Komatu integral operators [8] and the differential and integral operators defined by Salagean[2]. We also note that $I_0^0 f(z) = zf'(z)$ and $I_0^1 f(z) = f(z)$ the operator defined by Cho and Kim[5].

Next, using the operator I_n^λ , we introduce the following k -uniformly class of functions for $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $k \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \lambda$:

$$UST(\lambda, k, \gamma) = \{f \in A : I_n^\lambda f(z) \in UST(k, \gamma); z \in D\} \quad (11)$$

$$UCV(\lambda, k, \gamma) = \{f \in A : I_n^\lambda f(z) \in UCV(k, \gamma); z \in D\} \quad (12)$$

$$UCC(\lambda, k, \gamma, \beta) = \{f \in A : I_n^\lambda f(z) \in UCC(k, \gamma, \beta); z \in D\} \quad (13)$$

$$UQC(\lambda, k, \gamma, \beta) = \{f \in A : I_n^\lambda f(z) \in UQC(k, \gamma, \beta); z \in D\} \quad (14).$$

We also note that

$$f \in UST(\lambda, k, \gamma) \leftrightarrow zf' \in UCV(\lambda, k, \gamma), \quad (15)$$

$$f \in UCC(\lambda, k, \gamma, \beta) \leftrightarrow zf' \in UQC(\lambda, k, \gamma, \beta). \quad (16)$$

1. Inclusion properties

In the study we shall to prove some theorems involving operator I_{n+1}^λ . to prove main theorems, we shall need the following lemmas.

"Lemma 1[6]: Let β and γ is complex, h is univalent convex in the open disk D , where $h(0) = c$ and $\Re(\beta h(z) + \gamma) > 0$. Let $g(z) = c + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ be holomorphic in D . Then

$$g(z) + \frac{zg'(z)}{\beta g(z) + \gamma} < h(z) \rightarrow g(z) < h(z)."$$

"Lemma 2[7]: Let h is convex in the open disk D and let $A \geq 0$. set $B(z)$ is holomorphic in D with $\Re(B(z)) \geq A$. If g is holomorphic in D and $g(0) = h(0)$. Then

$$Az^2 g''(z) + B(z)zg'(z) + g(z) < h(z) \rightarrow g(z) < h(z)."$$

Theorem 1. Let $f(z) \in \nabla$. If $I_n^\lambda f(z) \in UST(k, \gamma)$, then $I_{n+1}^\lambda f(z) \in UST(k, \gamma)$.

Proof. Let $I_n^\lambda f(z) \in UST(k, \gamma)$ and set

$$\vartheta(z) = \frac{z(I_{n+1}^\lambda f(z))'}{I_{n+1}^\lambda f(z)}. \quad (17)$$

Using (10) and (17), we have

$$\frac{I_n^\lambda f(z)}{I_{n+1}^\lambda f(z)} = \frac{\vartheta(z) + \lambda}{\lambda + 1}. \quad (18)$$

Differentiating the last equation yields

$$\frac{z(I_{n+1}^\lambda f(z))'}{I_{n+1}^\lambda f(z)} = \vartheta(z) + \frac{z\vartheta'(z)}{\vartheta(z) + \lambda}, \quad (19)$$

from (8) we have

$$\vartheta(z) + \frac{z\vartheta'(z)}{\vartheta(z) + \lambda} < q_{k,\gamma}(z).$$

By using (1) to (19) and Lemma1, we get $\vartheta(z) < q_{k,\gamma}(z)$, hence

$$I_{n+1}^\lambda f(z) \in UST(k, \gamma).$$

Theorem 2. Let $f(z) \in \nabla$. If $I_n^\lambda f(z) \in UCV(k, \gamma)$, then $I_{n+1}^\lambda f(z) \in UCV(k, \gamma)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_n^\lambda f(z) \in UST(k, \gamma) &\leftrightarrow z \left(I_n^\lambda f(z) \right)' \in UST(k, \gamma) \\
&\leftrightarrow I_n^\lambda z f'(z) \in UST(k, \gamma) \\
&\rightarrow I_{n+1}^\lambda z f'(z) \in UST(k, \gamma) \\
&\leftrightarrow I_{n+1}^\lambda f(z) \in UCV(k, \gamma).
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

Theorem 3. Let $f(z) \in \nabla$. If $I_n^\lambda f(z) \in UCC(k, \gamma, \beta)$, then $I_{n+1}^\lambda f(z) \in UCC(k, \gamma, \beta)$.

Proof. Since $I_n^\lambda f(z) \in UCC(k, \gamma, \beta)$ by definition we can write

$$\frac{z \left(I_n^\lambda f(z) \right)'}{k(z)} < q_{k, \gamma}(z)$$

for some $k(z) \in UST(k, \gamma)$. For $g(z)$ such that $I_n^\lambda g(z) = k(z)$ we have

$$\frac{z \left(I_n^\lambda f(z) \right)'}{I_n^\lambda g(z)} < q_{k, \gamma}(z). \quad (20)$$

Let

$$h(z) = \frac{z \left(I_{n+1}^\lambda f(z) \right)'}{I_{n+1}^\lambda g(z)}$$

and

$$H(z) = \frac{z \left(I_{n+1}^\lambda g(z) \right)'}{I_{n+1}^\lambda g(z)}$$

where $h(z)$ and $H(z)$ are analytic in U and $h(0) = (0) = 1$.

Now, by Theorem 1

$$I_{n+1}^\lambda g(z) \in UST(k, \gamma) \text{ and } \Re(H(z)) > \frac{k+\gamma}{k+1}.$$

Also, we note that

$$z \left(I_{n+1}^\lambda f(z) \right)' = \left(I_{n+1}^\lambda g(z) \right) h(z). \quad (21)$$

Differentiating both sides of (21) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{z \left(I_{n+1}^\lambda (z f'(z)) \right)'}{I_{n+1}^\lambda g(z)} &= \frac{z \left(I_{n+1}^\lambda g(z) \right)'}{I_{n+1}^\lambda g(z)} \cdot h(z) + z h'(z) \\
&= H(z) h(z) + z h'(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Now using the identity (10), we obtain

$$\frac{z \left(I_n^\lambda f(z) \right)'}{I_n^\lambda g(z)} = \frac{I_n^\lambda (z f'(z))}{I_n^\lambda g(z)} = \frac{z \left(I_{n+1}^\lambda (z f'(z)) \right)' + \lambda I_{n+1}^\lambda (z f'(z))}{z \left(I_{n+1}^\lambda g(z) \right)' + \lambda I_{n+1}^\lambda g(z)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\frac{z \left(I_{n+1}^\lambda (z f'(z)) \right)'}{I_{n+1}^\lambda g(z)} + \frac{\lambda I_{n+1}^\lambda (z f'(z))}{I_{n+1}^\lambda g(z)}}{\frac{z \left(I_{n+1}^\lambda g(z) \right)'}{I_{n+1}^\lambda g(z)} + \lambda} \\
&= \frac{H(z) h(z) + z h'(z) + h(z)}{H(z) + \lambda} \\
&= h(z) + \frac{z h'(z)}{H(z) + \lambda} \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

from (20), (21) and (22), we conclude that

$$h(z) + \frac{z h'(z)}{H(z) + \lambda} < q_{k, \gamma}(z).$$

Let $A = 0$ and $B(z) = \frac{1}{H(z)+\lambda}$ we have

$$\Re(B(z)) = \frac{1}{|H(z) + \lambda|^2} \Re(\lambda + H(z)) > 0.$$

This inequality satisfies conditions in Lemma 2. Since $h(z) < q_{k,\gamma}(z)$, completed the proof.

Theorem 4. put $f(z) \in \nabla$. If $I_n^\lambda f(z) \in UQC(k, \gamma, \beta)$, then $I_{n+1}^\lambda f(z) \in UQC(k, \gamma, \beta)$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 4 similar that Theorem 3.

3. the closure properties of the operator F_c .

Now, we consider $F_c(z)$ [4],[3], which defined by

$$F_c(f) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt, \quad (c \geq 0). \quad (23)$$

Theorem 5. Set $c > \frac{-(k+\gamma)}{k+1}$.

If $I_n^\lambda f(z) \in UST(k, \gamma)$, then $I_n^\lambda F_c(f)(z) \in UST(k, \gamma)$.

Proof. Let $f(z) \in UST(k, \gamma)$ and set

$$\vartheta(z) = \frac{z \left(I_n^\lambda F_c(f)(z) \right)'}{I_n^\lambda F_c(f)(z)}$$

where, $p(z)$ analytic in D . From (23), we get

$$z \left(I_n^\lambda F_c(f)(z) \right)' = (c+1) I_n^\lambda f(z) - c I_n^\lambda F_c(f)(z). \quad (24)$$

Then, by using (24) and we obtain

$$\vartheta(z) + c = (c+1) \frac{I_n^\lambda f(z)}{I_n^\lambda F_c(f)(z)}. \quad (25)$$

By differentiation equatin (25) we, have

$$\frac{z \left(I_n^\lambda f(z) \right)'}{I_n^\lambda f(z)} = \vartheta(z) + \frac{z \vartheta'(z)}{\vartheta(z) + c} < q_{k,\gamma}(z). \quad (26)$$

Hence, by virtue of Lemma 1, we conclude that $\vartheta(z) < q_{k,\gamma}(z)$, which implies that $I_n^\lambda F_c(f)(z) \in UST(k, \gamma)$.

Theorem 6. Suppose $c > \frac{-(k+\gamma)}{k+1}$.

If $I_n^\lambda f(z) \in UCV(k, \gamma)$, then $I_n^\lambda F_c(f)(z) \in UCV(k, \gamma)$.

Proof. By applying (15) and Theorem 5, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) \in UST(k, \gamma) &\leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in UST(k, \gamma) \\ &\rightarrow F_c(zf'(z)) \in UST(k, \gamma) \quad \text{by Theorem 5} \\ &\leftrightarrow z(F_c(f)(z))' \in UST(k, \gamma) \\ &\leftrightarrow F_c(f)(z) \in UCV(k, \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

which proves Theorem 6.

Theorem 7. Suppose $c > \frac{-(k+\gamma)}{k+1}$.

If $I_n^\lambda f(z) \in UCC(k, \gamma, \beta)$, then $I_n^\lambda F_c(f)(z) \in UCC(k, \gamma, \beta)$.

Proof. Let $f(z) \in UCC(k, \gamma, \beta)$. Then by the definition of the class $UCC(k, \gamma, \beta)$ there exists a function $g(z) \in UST(k, \gamma)$ such that

$$\frac{z \left(I_n^\lambda f(z) \right)'}{I_n^\lambda g(z)} < q_{k, \gamma}(z).$$

Thus we set

$$h(z) = \frac{z \left(I_n^\lambda F_c(f)(z) \right)'}{I_n^\lambda F_c(f)(z)} \quad (27)$$

where $h(z)$ analytic in D . Since $g(z) \in UST(k, \gamma)$ we see from Theorem 5 that $F_c(g)(z) \in UST(k, \gamma)$. Let

$$H(z) = \frac{z \left(I_n^\lambda F_c(g)(z) \right)'}{I_n^\lambda F_c(g)(z)} \quad (28)$$

where $H(z)$ holomorphic in D where, $\Re(H(z)) > \frac{k+\gamma}{k+1}$, from (27) we conclude

$$z \left(I_n^\lambda F_c(f)(z) \right)' = h(z) \left(I_n^\lambda F_c(g)(z) \right). \quad (29)$$

Differentiating both sides of (29) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z \left(I_n^\lambda (zF_c'(f)(z)) \right)'}{I_n^\lambda F_c(g)(z)} &= \frac{z \left(I_n^\lambda F_c(g)(z) \right)'}{I_n^\lambda F_c(g)(z)} \cdot h(z) + zh'(z) \\ &= H(z)h(z) + zh'(z). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

by using (24) and (30), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{z(I_n^\lambda f(z))'}{I_n^\lambda g(z)} &= \frac{z(I_n^\lambda zF_c'(f)(z))' + cz(I_n^\lambda F_c(f)(z))'}{z(I_n^\lambda F_c(g)(z))' + cI_n^\lambda F_c(g)(z)} \\
&= \frac{\frac{z(I_n^\lambda zF_c'(f)(z))'}{I_n^\lambda F_c(g)(z)} + c \frac{z(I_n^\lambda F_c(f)(z))'}{I_n^\lambda F_c(g)(z)}}{\frac{z(I_n^\lambda F_c(g)(z))'}{I_n^\lambda F_c(g)(z)} + c} \\
&= \frac{H(z)h(z) + zh'(z) + ch(z)}{H(z) + c} \\
&= h(z) + \frac{zh'(z)}{H(z)+c} < q_{k,\gamma}(z). \tag{31}
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $B(z) = \frac{1}{H(z)+\lambda}$ in (31), we get $\Re(B(z)) > 0$ if $c > \frac{-(k+\gamma)}{k+1}$.

Hence, applying Lemma 2 for A and B as described we can show that $h(z) < q_{k,\gamma}(z)$, so that $I_n^\lambda F_c(f)(z) \in UCC(k, \gamma, \beta)$.

Theorem 8. Put $c > \frac{-(k+\gamma)}{k+1}$.

If $I_n^\lambda f(z) \in UQC(k, \gamma, \beta)$, then $I_n^\lambda F_c(f)(z) \in UQC(k, \gamma, \beta)$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 8, we can get it by using Theorem 7.

1. References.

- [1] A.W.Goodman, On uniformly starlike functions, J.Math. Anal. Appl.,155(1991),364-370.
- [2] G. S. Salagean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1013, Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 362–372.
- [3] H. A. Al-Kharsani, Multiplier transformations and k-uniformly p-valent starlike functions, General Math., 17 (2009), no. 1, 13–22.
- [4]I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim and H. M. Srivastava, The hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 176 (1993), 138-147.
- [5] N.E.Cho and T.H.Kim, Multiplier transformation and strongly close-to-convex functions,Bull.korean Math. Soc., 40 (2003), 399-410.
- [6] P.Eeinigenburg,S.S.Miller,P.T.Mocanu and M.D.Reade, General Inequalities, 64 (1983), (Birkhauseverlag-Basel) ISNM,339-348.
- [7] S.S.Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Differential subordination and inequalities in the complex plane, J.differential equations,67(1978),199-211.
- [8] Y.Komatu, Distortion theorems in relation to linner integral operators, Kluwer Acadimic Puplishers, Dordrecht,Boston, and London,1996.